

# Artifact and/or Theory? Publishing Design Science Research in IS

*Panel*

Abayomi Baiyere  
TUCS - Turku Centre of Computer Science  
University of Turku, Finland.  
[abayomi.baiyere@utu.fi](mailto:abayomi.baiyere@utu.fi)

Alan Hevner  
University of South Florida  
Tampa, Florida.  
[ahenvner@usf.edu](mailto:ahenvner@usf.edu)

Shirley Gregor  
Australian National University,  
Canberra, Australia.  
[shirley.gregor@anu.edu.au](mailto:shirley.gregor@anu.edu.au)

Matti Rossi  
Aalto University School of Economics  
Helsinki, Finland  
[matti.rossi@aalto.fi](mailto:matti.rossi@aalto.fi)

Richard Baskerville  
Georgia State University, Atlanta, USA  
Curtin University, Perth, Australia.  
[baskerville@gsu.edu](mailto:baskerville@gsu.edu)

## Abstract

*With the rising interest in Design Science Research (DSR), it has become crucial to understand what the acceptable components of a DSR paper are, in order to get published. Central to this is the ongoing debate on what constitutes an acceptable contribution in DSR - the artifact, the design theory or both? Two camps have emerged in this debate, and this panel is setup to engage thought leaders from both sides in a scholarly discourse. At the end, we aim to have moved a step towards collectively charting a path for the future of Design Science in the IS discipline.*

Keywords: Design Science Research, Theory Building, Information Technology (IT) Artifact, Information Systems (IS) Theory, Research Method, ICIS Panel