



Emelichev Vladimir | Nikulin Yury

T_1 -stability measures for multicriteria
quadratic integer programming prob-
lem of finding extremum solutions

TURKU CENTRE *for* COMPUTER SCIENCE

TUCS Technical Report
No 1194, May 2018



T_1 -stability measures for multicriteria quadratic integer programming problem of finding extremum solutions

Emelichev Vladimir

Belarusian State University, Department of Mechanics and Mathematics,
Nezavisimosti 4, BLR-20030 Minsk, Belarus
vemelichev@gmail.com

Nikulin Yury

University of Turku, Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
Vesilinnantie 5, FIN-20100 Turku, Finland
yury.nikulin@utu.fi

TUCS Technical Report

No 1194, May 2018

Abstract

We consider a wide class of quadratic optimization problems with integer and Boolean variables. In this paper, the lower and upper bounds on the strong stability radius of the set of extremum solutions are obtained in the situation where solution space and criterion space are endowed with various Hölder's norms.

Keywords: Boolean programming, quadratic problem, multicriteria optimization, Pareto set, stability radius, Hölder's norms

TUCS Laboratory
Turku Optimization Group

1 Problem formulation and basic definitions

Let $A = [a_{ijk}]$ be a $n \times n \times m$ -matrix with corresponding cuts $A_k \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, $k \in N_m = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $m \geq 1$. Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{Z}^n$, $2 \leq |X| < \infty$, be a set of feasible solutions (integer vectors) $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$, $n \geq 2$.

We define a vector criterion

$$f(x, A) = (f_1(x, A_1), f_2(x, A_2), \dots, f_m(x, A_m)) \rightarrow \min_{x \in X},$$

with partial criteria being quadratic functions

$$f_k(x, A_k) = x^T A_k x, \quad k \in N_m.$$

In decision making theory, along with the well-known Pareto optimality principle (see e.g. [1]), various choice functions are considered [2–5]. In this paper, under m -criteria quadratic problem $Z_m(A)$ we understand the problem of finding the set of extremum solutions defined in traditional way (see e.g. [2–4]):

$$C_m(A) = \{x \in X : \exists s \in N_m \quad \forall x' \in X \quad (g_s(x, x', A_s) \leq 0)\},$$

where

$$g_s(x, x', A_s) = f_s(x, A_s) - f_s(x', A_s) = (x - x')^T A_s (x - x').$$

Thus, the choice of extremum solutions can be interpreted as finding best solutions for each of m criteria, and then combining them into one set. In other words, the set of extremum solutions contains all the individual minimizers of each objective. Obviously, $C_1(A)$, $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ is the set of optimal solutions for scalar problem $Z_1(A)$ with $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$.

Taking into account that X is finite, the following formulae below are true:

$$C_m(A) = S_m(A) \setminus (P_m(A) \setminus L_m(A)) = L_m(A) \cup (S_m(A) \setminus P_m(A)),$$

$$C_m(A) \cap P_m(A) = L_m(A),$$

$$L_m(A) \subseteq P_m(A) \subseteq S_m(A),$$

$$L_m(A) \subseteq C_m(A) \subseteq S_m(A),$$

where $P_m(A)$ denotes the Pareto set [6], $S_m(A)$ denotes the Slater set [7], and $L_m(A)$ denotes the lexicographic set (see e.g. [1, 8]). Below we define all the three sets in a traditional way see e.g. [9–11]:

$$P_m(A) = \{x \in X : X(x, A) = \emptyset\},$$

$$S_m(A) = \{x \in X : \nexists x^0 \in X \quad \forall k \in N_m \quad (g_k(x, x^0, A_k) > 0)\},$$

$$L_m(A) = \bigcup_{\pi \in \Pi_m} L(A, \pi),$$

$$L(A, \pi) = \{x \in X : \forall x' \in X \quad (g(x, x', A) \leq_{\pi} 0_{(m)})\},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
X(x, A) &= \left\{ x' \in X : g(x, x', A) \geq 0_{(m)} \ \& \ g(x, x', A) \neq 0_{(m)} \right\}, \\
g(x, x', A) &= (g_1(x, x', A_1), g_2(x, x', A_2), \dots, g_m(x, x', A_m)), \\
0_{(m)} &= (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^m.
\end{aligned}$$

Here Π_m is the set of all $m!$ permutations of numbers $1, 2, \dots, m$; $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m) \in \Pi_m$; and the binary relation of lexicographic order between two vectors $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \in \mathbf{R}^m$ and $y' = (y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_m) \in \mathbf{R}^m$ is defined as follows

$$y \leq_\pi y' \iff$$

$$(y = y') \vee \left(\exists k \in N_m \ \forall i \in N_{k-1} \ (y_{\pi_k} < y'_{\pi_k} \ \& \ y_{\pi_i} = y'_{\pi_i}) \right),$$

where $N_0 = \emptyset$. Obviously all the sets, $P_m(A), S_m(A), L_m(A)$ and $C_m(A)$, are non-empty for any matrix $A = [a_{ijk}] \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n \times m}$ due to the finite number of alternatives in X .

We will perturb the elements of matrix $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n \times m}$ by adding elements of the perturbing matrix $A' \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n \times m}$. Thus the perturbed problem $Z_m(A + A')$ of finding extremum solutions has the following form

$$f(x, A + A') \rightarrow \min_{x \in X}.$$

In the solution space \mathbf{R}^n , we define an arbitrary Hölder's norm l_p , $p \in [1, \infty]$, i.e. under norm of vector $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)^T \in \mathbf{R}^n$ we understand the number

$$\|a\|_p = \begin{cases} \left(\sum_{j \in N_n} |a_j|^p \right)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \max\{|a_j| : j \in N_n\} & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Thus, for any matrix $A_k \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, the norm of the matrix is defined as a norm of vector composed of all the matrix elements.

In the criterion space \mathbf{R}^m , we define another Hölder's norm l_q , $q \in [1, \infty]$, i.e. under norm of matrix $A \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n \times m}$ we understand the number

$$\|A\|_{pq} = \|(\|A_1\|_p, \|A_2\|_p, \dots, \|A_m\|_p)\|_q,$$

It is easy to see that

$$\|A_k\|_p \leq \|A\|_{pq}, \quad k \in N_m. \quad (1)$$

Let ζ be either p or q . It is well-known that l_ζ norm, defined in \mathbf{R}^n , induces conjugated l_{ζ^*} norm in $(\mathbf{R}^n)^*$. For ζ and ζ^* , the following relations hold

$$\frac{1}{\zeta} + \frac{1}{\zeta^*} = 1, \quad 1 < \zeta < \infty.$$

In addition, if $\zeta = 1$ then $\zeta^* = \infty$. Obviously, if $\zeta^* = 1$ then $\zeta = \infty$. Also notice that ζ and ζ^* belong to the same range $[1, \infty]$. We also set $\frac{1}{\zeta} = 0$ if $\zeta = \infty$.

For any two vectors a and b of the same dimension, the following Hölder's inequalities are well-known (see e.g. [12])

$$|a^T b| \leq \|a\|_\zeta \|b\|_{\zeta^*}. \quad (2)$$

To any vector $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbf{Z}^n$, we assign a vector \tilde{x} composed of all the possible products $x_i x_j$, i.e.

$$\tilde{x} = (x_1 x_1, x_1 x_2, \dots, x_n x_{n-1}, x_n x_n)^T \in \mathbf{Z}^{n^2}.$$

Taking into account Hölder's inequalities (2), we can see that for any $x, x' \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ and $k \in N_m$ the following inequalities hold

$$\begin{aligned} |f_k(x, A_k)| &= |x^T A_k x| = |A_k \tilde{x} x^T| \leq \|A_k\|_p \|\tilde{x}\|_{p^*}, \\ |g_k(x, x', A_k)| &\leq \|A_k\|_p \|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}'\|_{p^*}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

It is also easy to see that for any vector $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)^T \in \mathbf{R}^n$ with condition $|a_j| = \alpha, j \in N_n$, and any matrix $A_k = [a_{ijk}] \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ with condition $|a_{ijk}| = \alpha, (i, j) \in N_n \times N_n$, the following inequalities are valid

$$\|a\|_p = \alpha n^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (4)$$

$$\|A_k\|_p = \alpha n^{\frac{2}{p}}. \quad (5)$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$\Omega_{pq}(\varepsilon) = \{A' \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n \times m} : \|A'\|_{pq} < \varepsilon\}$$

be the set of perturbing matrices A' with cuts $A'_k \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, $k \in N_m$, and $\|A'\|_{pq}$ is the norm of $A' = [a'_{ijk}] \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n \times m}$. Denote

$$\Xi_{pq} = \left\{ \varepsilon > 0 : \forall A' \in \Omega_{pq}(\varepsilon) \ (C_m(A + A') \cap C_m(A) \neq \emptyset) \right\}.$$

Following [10] and [13], the number

$$\rho_m(p, q) = \begin{cases} \sup \Xi_{pq} & \text{if } \Xi_{pq} \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{if } \Xi_{pq} = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

is called the *strong stability* (in terminology of [14] and [15] T_1 -stability) radius of problem $Z_m(A)$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$, with Hölder's norms l_p and l_q in the spaces \mathbf{R}^n and \mathbf{R}^m respectively. Thus, the strong stability radius of problem $Z_m(A)$ defines the extreme level of independent perturbations of the elements of matrix A in the space $\mathbf{R}^{n \times n \times m}$ not leading to the situation where new extremum solutions appear.

2 Main result

Given $p, q \in [1, \infty]$, for problem $Z_m(A)$, $m \in \mathbf{N}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_m(p) &= \min_{x \notin C_m(A)} \min_{k \in N_m} \max_{x' \in X \setminus \{x\}} \frac{g_k(x, x', A_k)}{\|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}'\|_{p^*}}, \\ \psi_m(p) &= \max_{x' \in C_m(A)} \max_{k \in N_m} \min_{x \notin C_m(A)} \frac{g_k(x, x', A_k)}{\|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}'\|_{p^*}}, \\ \gamma_m(p, q) &= n^{\frac{2}{p}} m^{\frac{1}{q}} \min_{x \notin C_m(A)} \max_{k \in N_m} \max_{x' \in C_m(A)} \frac{g_k(x, x', A_k)}{\|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}'\|_1}.\end{aligned}$$

It is evident that if $C_m(A) = X$, the inequality

$$C_m(A + A') \cap C_m(A) \neq \emptyset$$

holds for any perturbing matrix $A' \in \Omega_{pq}(\varepsilon)$ with $\varepsilon > 0$. So, the stability radius is infinite when $C_m(A) = X$. The problem $Z_m(A)$ that satisfies $C_m(A) \neq X$ is called *non-trivial*.

Theorem 1 *Given $p, q \in [1, \infty]$ and $m \in \mathbf{N}$, for the strong stability radius $\rho_m(p, q)$ of non-trivial problem $Z_m(A)$, the following lower bound is valid*

$$\rho_m(p, q) \geq \max\{\phi_m(p), \psi_m(p)\} > 0.$$

In addition,

$$\gamma_m(p, q) \geq \rho_m(p, q) \geq \max\{\phi_m(p), \psi_m(p)\} > 0 \quad (6)$$

if $Z^m(A)$ is a problem with Boolean variables, i.e. if $X \subseteq \mathbf{E}^n$.

Proof. Since the formula

$$\forall x \notin C_m(A) \quad \forall k \in N_m \quad \exists x^0 \in X \quad (g_k(x, x^0, A_k) > 0),$$

is true, the inequality $\phi_m(p) > 0$ tells us that the lower bound on the strong stability radius as well as the strong stability radius itself are always positive.

First, we prove that $\rho_m(p, q) \geq \phi_m(p)$. Let $A' \in \Omega_{pq}(\phi_m(p))$ be a perturbing matrix with cuts $A'_k \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, $k \in N_m$. Then according to the definition of the number $\phi_m(p)$, for any index $k \in N_m$ and any solution $x \notin C_m(A)$ there exists a solution $x^0 \in X \setminus \{x\}$ such that

$$\frac{g_k(x, x^0, A_k)}{\|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}^0\|_{p^*}} \geq \phi_m(p) > \|A'\|_{pq} \geq \|A'_k\|_p,$$

due to (1). Using (3) we conclude that for any $k \in N_m$ there exists $x^0 \neq x$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}g_k(x, x^0, A_k + A'_k) &= g_k(x, x^0, A_k) + g_k(x, x^0, A'_k) \geq \\ &g_k(x, x^0, A_k) - \|A'_k\|_p \|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}^0\|_{p^*} > 0,\end{aligned}$$

i.e. $x \notin C_m(A + A')$, Thus, any solution that is not extremum in the problem $Z_m(A)$ so stays in the problem $Z_m(A + A')$. Then we conclude that for any perturbing matrix $A' \in \Omega_{pq}(\phi_m(p))$ the inclusion holds $\emptyset \neq C_m(A + A') \subseteq C_m(A)$. It implies that $C_m(A + A') \cap C_m(A) \neq \emptyset$ for any $A' \in \Omega_{pq}(\phi_m(p))$, and hence $\rho_m(p, q) \geq \phi_m(p)$.

Further, we prove that $\rho_m(p, q) \geq \psi_m(p)$. Since the formula

$$\exists x' \in C_m(A) \quad \exists k \in N_m \quad \forall x \notin C_m(A) \quad (C_k(x - x') > 0)$$

is true, the inequality $\psi_m(p) > 0$ is also evident.

Let $A' \in \Omega_{pq}(\psi_m(p))$ be a perturbing matrix with cuts $A'_k \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$, $k \in N_m$. Then according to the definition of the number $\psi_m(p)$, there exist index $s \in N_m$ and solution $x^0 \in C_m(A)$ such that for any solution $x \notin C_m(A)$ we have

$$\frac{g_s(x, x^0, A_s)}{\|\tilde{x} - x^0\|_{p^*}} \geq \psi_m(p) > \|A'\|_{pq} \geq \|A'_s\|_p,$$

due to (1). Using (3), we conclude that for any $x \notin C_m(A)$ and any $A' \in \Omega_{pq}(\psi_m(p))$ the following inequalities hold

$$\begin{aligned} g_s(x, x^0, A_s + A'_s) &= g_s(x, x^0, A_s) + g_s(x, x^0, A'_s) \geq \\ &g_s(x, x^0, A_s) - \|A'_s\|_p \|\tilde{x} - x^0\|_{p^*} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(X \setminus C_m(A)) \cap C_s(x^0, A_s + A'_s) = \emptyset,$$

where

$$C_s(x^0, A_s + A'_s) = \{x \in X : g_s(x^0, x, A_s + A'_s) > 0\}.$$

Thus, any solution that is not extremum in the problem $Z_m(A)$ so stays in the problem $Z_m(A + A')$. Then we conclude that for any perturbing matrix $A' \in \Omega_{pq}(\psi_m(p))$ the following inequality holds

$$C_m(A + A') \cap C_m(A) \neq \emptyset,$$

and hence $\rho_m(p, q) \geq \psi_m(p)$.

Further we will consider the problem $Z_m(A)$ with Boolean variables ($X \subseteq \mathbf{E}^n$). And we demonstrate that $\gamma_m(p, q) \geq \rho_m(p, q)$. According to the definition of number $\gamma_m(p, q)$, there exists a Boolean solution $x^0 = (x_1^0, x_2^0, \dots, x_n^0) \notin C_m(A)$, $x^0 \in \mathbf{E}^n$ such that for any extremum solution $x \in C_m(A)$ and any index $k \in N_m$ we get

$$\gamma_m(p, q) \|\tilde{x}^0 - \tilde{x}\|_1 \geq n^{\frac{2}{p}} m^{\frac{1}{q}} g_k(x^0, x, A_k). \quad (7)$$

Setting $\varepsilon > \gamma_m(p, q)$, we define the elements a_{ijk}^0 of any cut A_k^0 , $k \in N_m$, of the perturbing matrix A^0 according to the formula

$$a_{ijk}^0 = \begin{cases} -\delta & \text{if } x_i^0 x_j^0 = 1, \\ \delta & \text{if } x_i^0 x_j^0 = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\gamma_m(p, q) < \delta n^{\frac{2}{p}} m^{\frac{1}{q}} < \varepsilon. \quad (8)$$

Then according to (4) and (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|A_s^0\|_p &= \delta n^{\frac{2}{p}}, \\ \|A^0\|_{pq} &= \delta n^{\frac{2}{p}} m^{\frac{1}{q}}, \\ A^0 &\in \Omega_{pq}(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

In addition, due to the construction of matrix A_k^0 , for any solution $x \neq x^0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(x^0, x, A_k^0) &= (x^0 - x)^T A_k^0 (x^0 - x) = \\ &= \sum_{i \in N_n} \sum_{j \in N_n} a_{ijk}^0 (x_i^0 x_j^0 - x_i x_j) = -\delta \|x^0 - \tilde{x}\|_1. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Using (7), (8) and (9), we continue

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(x^0, x, A_k + A_k^0) &= g_k(x^0, x, A_k) + g_k(x^0, x, A_k^0) \leq \\ &= (\gamma_m(p, q) (n^{\frac{2}{p}} m^{\frac{1}{q}})^{-1} - \delta) \|x^0 - \tilde{x}\|_1 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $x \notin C_m(A + A^0)$ when $x \in C_m(A)$. Summarizing, for any $\varepsilon > \gamma_m(p, q)$, we can guarantee the existence of the perturbing matrix $A^0 \in \Omega_{pq}(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$C_m(A + A^0) \cap C_m(A) = \emptyset,$$

i.e. $\rho_m(p, q) < \varepsilon$ for any number $\varepsilon > \gamma_m(p, q)$. So, inequality (6) holds. The Theorem has been proven.

From the Theorem we get the following result.

Corollary 1 If $Z_m(A)$, $A \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$, is a non-trivial problem with Boolean variables, i.e. if $C_m(A) \neq X \subseteq \mathbf{E}^n$, then for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$.

$$0 < \max\{\phi, \psi\} \leq \rho_m(\infty, \infty) \leq \gamma,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &= \min_{x \notin C_m(A)} \min_{k \in N_m} \max_{x' \in X \setminus \{x\}} \frac{g_k(x, x', A_k)}{\|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}'\|_1}, \\ \psi &= \max_{x' \in C_m(A)} \max_{k \in N_m} \min_{x \notin C_m(A)} \frac{g_k(x, x', A_k)}{\|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}'\|_1}, \\ \gamma &= \min_{x \notin C_m(A)} \max_{k \in N_m} \max_{x' \in C_m(A)} \frac{g_k(x, x', A_k)}{\|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}'\|_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2 If $Z_1(A)$, $A \in \mathbf{R}^n$, is a scalar non-trivial problem with Boolean variables ($X \subseteq \mathbf{E}^n$), then the following formula holds

$$\rho_1(\infty, q) = \min_{x \notin C_1(A)} \max_{x' \in X \setminus \{x\}} \frac{g(x, x', A)}{\|\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}'\|_1}.$$

Finally, we notice that Corollary 2 proves the attainability of $\phi_m(\rho)$ and $\gamma_m(p, q)$ when $m = 1$ and $p = \infty$.

References

- [1] V. Podinovski and V. Nogin, *Pareto-optimal solutions of multicriteria problems*. Moscow: FizMatLit, 2007.
- [2] D. Molodtsov, *Stability of optimality principle*. Moscow: Nauka, 1987.
- [3] L. Sholomov, *Logical methods for the investigation of discrete choice models*. Moscow: Nauka, 1989.
- [4] D. Yudin, *Computational methods in decision making*. Moscow: Nauka, 1989.
- [5] M. Aizerman and F. Alekserov, *Choice of alternatives: theoretical foundations*. Moscow: Nauka, 1990.
- [6] V. Pareto, *Manuel d'economie politique*. Paris: Qiard, 1906.
- [7] M. Slater, "Lagrange Multipliers Revisited: A Contribution to Nonlinear Programming," *Cowles Commission Discussion Paper 80*, 1950.
- [8] R. Steuer, *Multiple criteria optimization: theory, computation and application*, New York: John Wiley, 1986.
- [9] V. Emelichev, K. Kuzmin and V. Mychkov, "Estimates of stability radius of multicriteria Boolean problem with Hölder metrics in parameter spaces", *Bulletin of the Academy of Sciences of Moldova. Mathematics*, 2015, **78**, No. 2, 74 – 81.
- [10] V. Emelichev, E. Girlich, Y. Nikulin and D. Podkopaev, "Stability and regularization of vector problems of integer linear programming", *Optimization*, 2002, **51**, No. 4, 645 – 676.
- [11] V. Emelichev, Y. Nikulin and V. Korotkov, "Stability Analysis of Efficient Portfolios in a Discrete Variant of Multicriteria Investment Problem with Savage's Risk Criteria", *Computer Science Journal of Moldova*, 2017, **75**, No. 3, 303 – 328.
- [12] G. Hardy, J. Littlewood and G. Polya, *Inequalities (2nd ed.)*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1952.
- [13] V. Emelichev and K. Kuzmin, "On a type of stability of a multicriteria integer linear programming problem in the case of a monotone norm", *Journal of Computer and Systems Sciences International*, 2007, **46**, No. 5, 714 – 720.
- [14] V. Emelichev and K. Kuzmin, "On the T1-stability radius of a multicriteria linear Boolean problem with Hölder norms in parameter spaces.", *Taurida Journal of Computer Science Theory and Mathematics*, 2016, **30**, No. 1, 49 – 64.
- [15] I. Sergienko and V. Shilo, *Discrete Optimization Problems. Problems, methods, research*. Kiev: Naukova dumka, 2003.

The logo for the Turku Centre for Computer Science is set against a solid blue background. It features the text 'TURKU CENTRE for COMPUTER SCIENCE' in white, with 'CENTRE for' in a smaller, italicized font. The text is arranged in four lines. To the right of the text, there are several thin, white, abstract lines that form a network-like structure, with some lines extending towards the right edge of the blue area.

TURKU
CENTRE *for*
COMPUTER
SCIENCE

Joukahaisenkatu 3-5 A, 20520 TURKU, Finland | www.tucs.fi

University of Turku

Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences

- Department of Information Technology
 - Department of Mathematics and Statistics
- Turku School of Economics*
- Institute of Information Systems Sciences

Åbo Akademi University

- Computer Science
- Computer Engineering

ISBN 978-952-12-3714-0

ISSN 1239-1891